

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO Bex 1456 Alexandria, Vagnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/847,634	05/02/2001	Thomas A. Seeman	TIG-200-A	6613
75	90 05/23/2003			
WEINER & BURT, P.C.			EXAMINER	
P. O. BOX 186 HARRISVILLE	, MI 48740		VINCENT, SEAN E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731	

DATE MAILED: 05/23/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·		
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/847,634	SEEMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sean E Vincent	1731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 05 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application and single of the control of t	ation. A proper reply to a	
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	if extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply on the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action: or	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>05 May 2003</u> . Appe 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	ee NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or simplifying the	
<ul><li>(d) they present additional claims without canceling</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment	
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment (explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8, 13-19, 21 and 24-30</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a) approved or b) disappr	oved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:	£	Seen E Vincent Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1731	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's claims do not exclude other gases such as butanes or hydrocarbons containing an acetylenic triple bond in the gaseous mixtures claimed. Applicant's use of the term "approximately" broadens the scope of the claims such that the total volume percent of methylacetylene, propadiene, propylene and propane does not necessarily equal 100 percent. Applicant further does not specify the proportions of methylacetylene, propadiene and propylene in the first gas mixture making it impossible to convert the claimed volume percents to weight percents.